

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TAHA ABOURAMADAN,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01206-APG-BNW

**Order Granting Mitchell Silberberg &
Knupp LLP's Motion to Dismiss**

[ECF No. 24]

8 Defendant Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP filed a motion to dismiss the claims against
9 it. ECF No. 24. Plaintiff Taha Abouramadan has not responded to the motion, and the deadline
10 to do so has passed despite my giving Abouramadan a significant extension of the original
11 deadline. *See* ECF No. 56. "The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in
12 response to a[] motion . . . constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion." Local Rule 7-
13 2(d). And, the motion is supported by good cause.

14 I THEREFORE ORDER that defendant Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP's motion to
15 dismiss **(ECF No. 24) is GRANTED**. The claims asserted in this case against it are dismissed
16 with prejudice.

17 DATED this 24th day of February, 2021.

18 

19 ANDREW P. GORDON
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23